

City of Seattle

Ed Murray, Mayor

Dear Mr. Kubly

Seattle Freight Advisory Board

June 26, 2017

Hal Cooper Jr, Chair

Johan Hellman

Geri Poor Frank Rose

Pat Cohn

Dan McKisson

Jeanne Acutanza

Mike Elliott

Kristal Fiser

The Seattle Freight
Advisory Board shall
advise the City Council,
the Mayor, and all
departments and offices
of the City in
development of a
functional and efficient
freight system and on all
matters related to freight
and the impact that
actions by the City may
have upon the freight
environment.

City Council Resolution

Scott Kubly, Director City of Seattle Department of Transportation 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3900 PO Box 34996 Seattle, WA 98124-4996

Sent electronically to scott.kubly@seattle.gov

Re: Streets Illustrated, Right of Way Improvements Manual Updated Comments

This letter serves as a follow-up on comments and discussions we have had with your transportation team related to: Streets Illustrated, the 10-year Update of the Right of Way Improvements Manual (ROWIM). As you may know, the Seattle Freight Advisory Board (SFAB) has previously submitted written comments regarding the ROWIM on Sept 9, 2016, February 29, 2016 and October 24, 2014. Thank you for the information and insight your staff have provided on this topic. This letter confirms and reiterates the SFAB's strong interest in key areas of the ROWIM update process.

Turn Radii and Design Vehicle

We continue to strongly recommend consideration of established design standards and a Recommended Design Vehicle (RDV) to provide design professionals a clear guide for use in planning facilities for freight. We recommend that the Freight Transportation Network (FTN) be guided by the design standards as shown in Table 1 (see attached). This table includes a RDV and provides comparisons to WSDOT, AASHTO and NACTO standards. The Table covers all classifications including inside and outside the Urban Villages. The SFAB recommends this table be included as part of the ROWIM as a design standard reference. We recommend these RDV standards be incorporated and adopted in the Freight Master Plan and anything substandard to this require a review by the SFAB prior to any deviation.

Existing 12 foot lanes

Thank you for providing a map of locations on arterial streets with current 12-foot outside lanes. As discussed it is the SFAB's recommendation these 12-foot wide lanes be preserved and subject to a SFAB review prior to modifications specifically outside of Urban Villages.

Deviation Process

As discussed with SDOT staff, the ROWIM applies to developer-driven <u>and</u> City initiated capital improvement projects. Where projects cannot comply with the ROWIM, they would submit designs through a deviation process. We recommend that where these designs are

applied to the FTN, or are within the MICs, they should be reviewed by the SFAB and a recommendation provided to the City prior to deviation approval. Additionally, any deviation should be accompanied by a safety impact analysis.

Modal Priorities

Since the development and adoption of the modal plans, the Freight Advisory Board has been interested in seeing modal priorities addressed. We appreciated that the FTN will be defined within the new updated ROWIM but are looking to see how the City's Complete Streets Ordinance is incorporated and addressed within the updated ROWIM?

User Interface

We continue to look for a demonstration of the interactive User Interface on-line manual and how it will be used by project designers and developers with the City public ROWs.

Parking in the MICs

Parking for trucks within the MICs is a high priority and especially critical for trucks working in and around the Port of Seattle needing overnight parking. We understand that the City is in the process of conducting parking studies in freight corridors. This information, or separate parking utilization study information, should be considered in any efforts to reduce or eliminate on-street parking in the industrial areas in favor of developing Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) or other use infrastructure. Any recommendations for reductions in on-street parking in the MICs should be accompanied by parking utilization and projected need data.

Responses and Response Time

We understand that the final document is to be published in late June and the review time will be very short with the SEPA issued at or near the same time. Along with many others, the SFAB has submitted comments three times previous to this comment letter. We would appreciate adequate time to review the final manual and City responses to all comments related to the final document and be afforded adequate time to assimilate and understand how these comments might impact the ROWIM update process.

Thank you for accommodating our requests. We look forward to reviewing the updated ROWIM and providing feedback.

Sincerely,

Johan Hellman

Co-Chair, Seattle Freight Advisory Board

Hal B.H. Cooper Jr.,

Co-Chair, Seattle Freight Advisory Board

Attachment:

Table 1

Recommended Design Vehicle for Truck Turning Movements

Table 1. Recommended Design Vehicle for Truck Turning Movements

			3	Min Design	Min Inside			
From	To	Urban		Turning	Turning	WSDOT	Recommended	Accommodate
To	From	Villages	Design For ¹	Radius ⁴	Radius ⁵	Radius ⁷	Radius	For ¹
Over-legal	Over-legal							
Routes	Routes	All	WB-67 ²	44.8 feet	n/a ⁶		45 feet	
Over-legal								
Routes	FTN	All	WB-67	44.8 feet	n/a		45 feet	
FTN	FTN	All	WB-67	44.8 feet	n/a	50 feet	45 feet	
	Principal							
FTN	Arterial	All	$WB-40^{3}$	39.9	19.3	55 feet	35 feet	WB-67
	Minor							
FTN	Arterial	All	SU-30	41.8	28.4 feet	50 feet	30 feet	SU-30
			Passenger					
FTN	Collector	All	Car	23.8	14.4 feet	30 feet	25 feet	SU-30
Principal	Principal	Urban						
Arterial	Arterial	Center	SU-30	41.8	28.4 feet	50 feet	30 feet	SU-30
Principal	Principal	Urban	Passenger					
Arterial	Arterial	Village	Car	23.8	14.4 feet	30 feet	25 feet	SU-30

- 1) Recommend that ROWIM specify that curb return on the FTN are designed for using truck turning templates to determine design details of the curb radius, receiving tapers, acceptable lane encroachments with "Accommodate For", position of stop bar, etc. When the recommended radius cannot be accommodated due to severity of environmental impacts or cost, a design deviation would be considered through traffic and design analysis of the intersection.
- 2) WB-62 or WB-67 (WB-67 carries a 53-foot container)
- 3) NACTO Design Vehicle for Designated Truck Routes is a WB-50. WB-50 not available in WSDOT or AASHTO manuals
- 4) AASHTO, Chapter 2, Table, Minimum Turning Radius (front outside wheel)
- 5) AASHTO, Chapter 2, Table, Minimum Turning Radius (inside edge of vehicle)
- 6) The inside turning radius is a compound radius and requires application of a turning template
- 7) WSDOT Design Manual Page 1310-12, radius at the edge of travelled way (ramp terminal radius is 50-foot minimum)

Note: A 40-foot city bus has a 41.7-foot outside turning radius and a 24.3 inside turning radius.